NOTICE OF DECISION UNDER REGULATION 32
FILM POSTERS

VIDEO SLICK

TO: The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.
PO Box 2627
Ponsonby
Auckland

Pursuant to regulation 32 of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Regulations 1994 the film poster entitled:

Dirty Games Extreme & Bizarre

is **Not Approved.**

If approval refused, the reasons for the decision:

The video slick contains a number of photographs explicitly depicting sexual activity and/or nudity. These include three photographs of men urinating over the faces and bodies of women in a sexualised context. The women are naked or near naked and their breasts and/or genitalia are visible. Each of these photographs is partially obscured by a black circular graphic. However, the actual urination is clearly visible and it is obvious that urination over the women is taking place. In each photograph the man's penis is visible with a stream of urine emanating from it in the direction of a woman's face. In one photograph a stream of urine is clearly visible bouncing off the mouth of a woman and into the air in the direction of her breasts. Text on the slick also contains a reference to "wet sex" which also presents as a reference to the use of urine in association with sexual activity, especially when accompanied by the photographs showing urination.

While there has been some attempt at obscuring the activity in these photographs, it is clearly inadequate. The photographs explicitly depict urination over people in a manner presenting it as a pleasurable and sexually arousing activity. They are surrounded by other explicit photographs of sexual activity which are also clearly intended to be sexually arousing. Because of the inclusion of these photographs, and the reference to "wet sex", the video slick has the effect of normalising the use of urine in association with sexual conduct and presents it is a sexually arousing and enjoyable activity. Therefore, the video slick is likely to satisfy the criteria under s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Act 1993 (FVPC Act) in that it is likely that the video slick would be determined to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The video slick is likely to satisfy the criteria under s3(2)(d) of the FVPC Act, even when the provisions of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act) are taken into consideration. Therefore, if it were submitted under s13(1) of the FVPC Act, it is likely that it would be classified as objectionable. In accordance with Regulation 28(3)(a), the video slick is not approved. In view of the manner in which the video slick depicts the use of urine in association with sexual conduct, it is considered that not approving the video slick is a reasonable and justified limitation on the freedom expressed in s14 of the NZBR Act.

• • • •

For the Classification Office (signed):

OFLC Ref: 1874